

In the Drawings

Figure 2 has been amended to include arrows to show which way current or information is flowing regarding blocks B1 and B2, as required by the Examiner.

The Examiner correctly noted that there were two drawings submitted bearing the legend "Fig. 3". One contains four sub-elements (Figs. 3a, 3b, 3c, and 3d) (referred to herein as the "First Figure 3") and the other contains two sub-elements (Figs 3a and 3b) (referred to herein as the "Second Figure 3"). The substance of the Second Figure 3 is included in the First Figure 3; accordingly, by this amendment the Second Figure 3 is deleted.

REMARKS

Petition for Extension of Time Under 37 CFR 1.136(a)

It is hereby requested that the term to respond to the Examiner's Action of March 26, 2007 be extended two months, from June 26, 2007 to August 27, 2007 (August 26 being a Sunday).

Authorization to charge a Credit Card is given to cover the extension fee. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 19-5425.

In the Office Action, the Examiner indicated that claims 1 through 8 are pending in the application and the Examiner rejected all claims.

Objections to the Drawings, Specification and Abstract

On pages 2 to 4 of the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the drawings for various informalities. Applicants have amended the specification and/or drawings to overcome the Examiner's objections. On page 4 of the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the Abstract for containing extraneous text. Applicant has amended the Abstract in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion. On pages 4 and 5 of the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the specification for various informalities. Applicant has amended the specification in accordance with the Examiner's suggestions.

The §112 Rejections

On pages 5 through 8, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement, and/or under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Applicant has amended the claims to overcome these rejections, and has made additional amendments of a formal nature to place the claims in proper form for U.S patent practice.

Allowable Subject Matter

On page 8 of the Office Action, the Examiner indicated that claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, first and second paragraphs, and stated that claims 2-8 would similarly be allowable if amended to overcome the §112 rejections and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant thanks the Examiner for this indication of allowable subject matter and submits that the §112 rejections have been overcome by the present amendments.

Conclusion

The present invention is not taught or suggested by the prior art. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the objections/rejection of the claims. An early Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 19-5425.

Respectfully submitted

August 27, 2007
Date

/Mark D. Simpson/
Mark D. Simpson, Esquire
Registration No. 32,942

SYNNESTVEDT LECHNER & WOODBRIDGE
112 Nassau Street
P.O. Box 592
Princeton, NJ 08542-0592

Telephone: 609 924 3773
Facsimile: 609 924 1811